

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):*		
					Group	C	Overall
Program Progress:			PJs in State: <div>93</div>				
% of Funds Committed	<div>95.31</div> %	<div>96.49</div> %	<div>48</div>	<div>96.48</div> %	<div>40</div>	<div>41</div>	
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>87.14</div> %	<div>88.28</div> %	<div>49</div>	<div>89.00</div> %	<div>33</div>	<div>34</div>	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>7.42</div>	<div>5.83</div>	<div>1</div>	<div>4.81</div>	<div>100</div>	<div>100</div>	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>32.43</div> %	<div>77.63</div> %	<div>83</div>	<div>83.25</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>2</div>	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>54.24</div> %	<div>70.30</div> %	<div>61</div>	<div>70.99</div> %	<div>19</div>	<div>19</div>	
Low-Income Benefit:							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>89.04</div> %	<div>81.96</div> %	<div>30</div>	<div>81.75</div> %	<div>61</div>	<div>66</div>	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>69.18</div> %	<div>41.30</div> %	<div>13</div>	<div>45.84</div> %	<div>81</div>	<div>87</div>	
Lease-Up:							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>98.63</div> %	<div>96.50</div> %	<div>40</div>	<div>96.12</div> %	<div>43</div>	<div>44</div>	
Overall Ranking:			In State: <div>54</div> / <div>93</div>		Nationally: <div>33</div> <div>29</div>		
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:							
Rental Unit	<div>\$10,693</div>	<div>\$36,975</div>		<div>\$27,889</div>	<div>146</div> Units	<div>64.60</div> %	
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$63,067</div>	<div>\$21,536</div>		<div>\$15,632</div>	<div>48</div> Units	<div>21.20</div> %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$15,237</div>	<div>\$27,502</div>		<div>\$21,037</div>	<div>32</div> Units	<div>14.20</div> %	
TBRA Unit	<div>\$0</div>	<div>\$2,232</div>		<div>\$3,206</div>	<div>0</div> Units	<div>0.00</div> %	

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***. This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Richmond CA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

PJ:

State:*

National:**

Rental

Homebuyer

Homeowner

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ:

National Avg:

5.0 %

1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.24

\$53,780

\$46,285

\$15,237

\$141,290

\$116,319

\$28,818

\$99,461

\$78,568

\$23,907

RACE:	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %
White:	9.0	2.1	21.9	0.0
Black/African American:	69.4	75.0	65.6	0.0
Asian:	0.7	2.1	0.0	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Asian and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Black/African American and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native and Black:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other Multi Racial:	0.7	0.0	3.1	0.0
Asian/Pacific Islander:	2.1	8.3	0.0	0.0

ETHNICITY:

Hispanic	18.1	12.5	9.4	0.0
----------	--	--	---	---

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

1 Person:	47.9	8.3	71.9	0.0
2 Persons:	21.5	8.3	21.9	0.0
3 Persons:	16.7	39.6	3.1	0.0
4 Persons:	9.7	25.0	3.1	0.0
5 Persons:	2.8	12.5	0.0	0.0
6 Persons:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7 Persons:	1.4	2.1	0.0	0.0
8 or more Persons:	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0

HOUSEHOLD TYPE:

Single/Non-Elderly:	14.6	4.2	3.1	0.0
Elderly:	41.7	0.0	87.5	0.0
Related/Single Parent:	27.8	62.5	3.1	0.0
Related/Two Parent:	12.5	25.0	6.3	0.0
Other:	3.5	8.3	0.0	0.0

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

Section 8:	6.3	0.0 [#]
HOME TBRA:	0.0	
Other:	73.6	
No Assistance:	20.1	

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 9

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state


** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Richmond State: CA Group Rank: 33
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 54 / 93 PJs Overall Rank: 29
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 1 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 77.87%	32.43	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 53.90%	54.24	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME	< 70%**	89.04	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 92.09%	98.63	
"ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED***		> 2.415	2.05	

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

